	1	
	2	
	3	
2601 Main Street, Suite 1200 Irvine, California 92614 (949) 222-918 Electronically Received 11/16/2021 04:56 PM	4	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	Christina
	11	Dedrick;
	12	Logan, o Class; De
	13	
	14	V
	15	Check In form; Ch entity of
	16	
	17	inclusive
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	222324	
	23	
	24	

26

27

28

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 11/23/2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court L. M'Greené Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

Harvey; Dyrius Groomes; Tyrie) CASE NO. BC609540 Armond Person; and Anthony) on behalf of Themselves and the) eron Hollins,

Plaintiffs,

to Cash, Inc., an entity of unknown) eck Into Cash of California, Inc., an) unknown form; and Does 1 to 10,)

Defendants.

Date Action Filed: February 8, 2016 Not Yet Set Trial Date:

Assigned for all purposes to: Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl Department 12

[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL **ORDER**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the above-styled Action was filed on February 8, 2016;

WHEREAS, this Court has reviewed, considered, and held a hearing on the Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation") entered into between Plaintiffs Christina Harvey and Anthony Logan, on behalf of the class, and Dyrius Groomes, Tyrie Dedrick, Armond Person, and Deron Hollins, on behalf of themselves (all of whom are collectively, "Plaintiffs"), on the one hand, and Check Into Cash, Inc. and Check Into Cash of California, Inc. (collectively, "Check Into Cash"), on the other hand, and filed with this Court, together with all exhibits thereto, the record in this case, including supplemental papers filed, and the arguments of counsel;

WHEREAS, this Court preliminarily finds that, for purposes of approving this settlement only, the proposed Class meets all the prerequisites of California Code of Civil Procedure §382 and California Civil Code §1781, including numerosity, ascertainability, community of interest, predominance of common issues, superiority and typicality, and that Plaintiffs Christina Harvey and Anthony Logan and Class Counsel are adequate representatives of the Class (as defined below); and

WHEREAS, the Action was settled as a result of arm's-length negotiations, investigation and discovery sufficient to permit counsel and the Court to act knowingly, and counsel are experienced in similar litigation,

THEREFORE, for good cause appearing, it is hereby ordered as follows:

- 1. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Stipulation as filed with the Court and the terms and conditions of settlement set forth in the Stipulation and as modified as set forth in the supplemental declarations of Mark Mazda and Marina Foley, and all the supplemental documents filed in supporting of the motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing. All capitalized terms and definitions used herein have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382, California Civil Code §1781, and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(c) and (d), the Court hereby preliminarily approves for settlement purposes only a Class consisting of:
 - all African Americans (or Blacks) who have entered into a locked Check Into Cash store in the State of California from February 9, 2012 to the present.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

objection to be considered.

- 3. Notice of the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation should be given to the Class Members.
- 4. Having considered the Class Notice provided by the Parties, the Court hereby approves the contents and form of the Class Notice attached to the Second Supplemental Mazda Declaration as Exhibit A thereto.
- 5. The Parties are hereby authorized to administer and supervise the Notice Plan as more fully set forth in the Stipulation and all the supplemental papers filed.
- 6. The Court finds that the notice to the Class Members regarding settlement of this Action, including the method of dissemination to the Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, the Supplemental Mazda Declaration, the Second Supplemental Mazda Declaration, and this Order constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constitute valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members, complying fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure §382, California Civil Code §1781, California Rules of Court, Rule 3.766, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law.
- 7. Objections by any Class Member to: (a) the proposed settlement contained in the Stipulation and described in the Class Notice; (b) the reimbursement of expenses and an award of attorneys' fees and/or the service or enhancement awards; and/or (c) entry of the Judgment, shall be heard, and any papers submitted in support of said objections shall be considered by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing Ray * at AFEQUECG only if, on or before January 11, 2022, such objector files with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles: (1) a written notice of his or her objection, including stating the case name and case number, basis for such objection, supporting authority (if applicable), his or her full name, current address, telephone number, signature, and whether he or she is represented by his or her own counsel; and (2) if applicable, a statement of his or her intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. The objector must also serve copies of the foregoing and all other papers in support of such objections on counsel for the Parties as identified in the Class Notice, and otherwise comply with the requirements for objection as set forth in the Class Notice. In order to be considered for hearing, all objections must be actually received by the Court and counsel identified in the Class Notice on or before Lanuary 11, 2022 An objecting Class Member need not appear at the Final Approval Hearing in order for his or her

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Ræ) *æl^ÁrFÉÆG€GG
2	exclusion to counsel for the Parties that is actually received by counsel no later than January 11, 2022
3	The written request must state the Class Member's full name, current address, and telephone number
4	that the Class Member requests exclusion from the Class, and it must be signed by the Class Member
5	and otherwise comply with the requirements for exclusion as set forth in the Class Notice. Any Class
6	Member who does not submit a valid and timely request for exclusion will be bound by the Settlement
7	judgment and orders in this Action.
8	9. No later than 14 days before the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs shall file their opening papers

8. Any Class Member who wishes to opt out of the Class must mail or deliver a written request for

the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties shall file responses to any valid and timely objections. 10. Any Class Member may enter an appearance in the Action, individually or through the counsel of his or her choice at his or her expense. Notices of Appearance must be filed with the Court and served

in support of their motion for final approval of the Settlement. No later than five (5) calendar days before

11. The Stipulation provides that the Law Office of Mark Mazda is the Counsel to represent the Class. The Court hereby designates the Law Office of Mark Mazda as Class Counsel.

on the Parties' counsel identified in the Class Notice on or before January

- Øæå}^••Æ^æå;*ÆåÁ^œ́\¦ÁŒÐEŒGÁæÆFFƀƌ È Hearing shall be held by the Court on February 1, 2022 Department 12 of the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, Spring Street Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, to consider and determine: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms set forth in the Stipulation should be approved as fair, just, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Class; the application for Class Representative service or enhancement awards; the application for Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs; and whether the Judgment approving the Settlement and dismissing the Action on the merits and with prejudice against Plaintiffs and all Class Members should be entered.
- 13. The Final Approval Hearing may, from time to time and without further notice to the Class Members (except those who have filed timely and valid objections), be continued or adjourned by order of the Court.
- 14. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or

the terms of the Stipulation.

15. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order Granting Final Approval, the Stipulation and the proposed settlement that is the subject of this Order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be restored without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Stipulation.

16. Pending further order of this Court, all proceeding in this matter, except those contemplated herein and in the Stipulation, are hereby stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

11/23/2021 Dated:



The Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl Superior Court Judge